

ICYS

International Conference of Young Scientists

Oral presentation

Title of the project: _____

Name of presenter

Name(s) of teammate(s): _____

Country: _____

Juror: _____

Date: _____

Nr.	Categories	Comments	Points
1.	Project evaluation Quality of justification and motivation for the chosen topic. Originality and relevance of the research problem. Clarity of research objectives. Difficulty of the project. Suitability for a student project.		scale: 0 – 10
2.	Scientific quality of implementation Appropriateness of methods and tools applied.		scale: 0 – 10

	<p>Level of scientific / technical difficulty.</p> <p>Reliability and significance of obtained results.</p> <p>Strength and coherence of scientific arguments.</p> <p>Transparency and reproducibility of the research process.</p>		
3.	<p>Presentation and Delivery</p> <p>Logical structure and clarity of the presentation.</p> <p>Effectiveness of visuals (slides, graphs, figures).</p> <p>Verbal communication (language accuracy, terminology, emphasis).</p> <p>Non-verbal communication (language accuracy, terminology, pace, emphasis).</p> <p>Appropriateness of the presentation pace.</p> <p>Utilization of the available time.</p>		scale: 0 – 10
4.	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Depth of subject-matter knowledge.</p> <p>Demonstrated understanding of underlying concepts.</p> <p>Ability of critical reflect on results and limitations.</p> <p>Understanding of the judges' questions.</p>		scale: 0 – 10

	Quality of responses to audience/ jury questions.		
	Sum of points		
	Comments		

signature